

# LIST OF FIGURES

## SYNTHESIS

Figure S.1 (1.1). Framework for informing PM management. ....	8
Figure S.2 (1.6). Development of a conceptual model. ....	9
Figure S.3 (3.2). Typical number and volume distributions of atmospheric particles. ....	11
Figure S.4 (1.3). Illustrative corroborative approach of providing atmospheric science input to the policy questions. ....	13
Figure S.5 (6.7). Average PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations.....	15
Figure S.6 (6.3). Average annual PM <sub>10</sub> mass concentrations. ....	16
Figure S.7 (6.12). Composition of PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass at representative urban and rural locations. ....	20
Figure S.8 (3.16). Chemical links between the ozone and PM formation processes. ....	22
Figure S.9 (6.15). Comparisons of average PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass concentrations and species concentrations. ....	23
Figure S.10 (6.21a). Trends in concentrations of SO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> at CAPMoN sites, 1980 - 2000.....	27
Figure S.11 (10.28). Reconstructed eastern U.S. fine mass partitioned into summer and winter components. ....	28
Figure S.12 (7.4). Three-day back-trajectories of Ontario Air-Quality Index site at Simcoe. ....	29
Figure S.13 (7.7). CMB source-contribution estimates for PM <sub>2.5</sub> in two regions of Canada. ....	33
Figure S.14 (4.3) Distribution of SO <sub>2</sub> sources in Canada and the United States. ....	34
Figure S.15. (6.21b). Trends in concentrations of SO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> at CASTNet sites, 1980 - 2000. ....	42
Figure S.16 (1.5). Iterative communication for managing air-quality. ....	45
Figure S.17 (1.5). NRC framework for evaluating risks. ....	47

## CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1. Framework for informing PM management. ....	54
Figure 1.2. Representative composition of PM. ....	56
Figure 1.3. Illustrative transport scales for PM and other atmospheric pollutants. ....	59
Figure 1.4. NRC framework for evaluating risks extending from pollutant sources to responses. ....	61
Figure 1.5. Iterative communication for managing air quality. ....	65
Figure 1.6. Development of conceptual models of PM behavior. ....	67

## CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1. Schematic rendering of relationship among outdoor and indoor sources. ....	74
Figure 2.2. Regression analysis of daytime personal exposure to PM <sub>10</sub> . ....	75
Figure 2.3. Particle deposition in the major regions of the human respiratory tract. ....	76
Figure 2.4. Schematic rendering of basic design for epidemiological studies. ....	77
Figure 2.5. Stylized summary of acute exposure studies. ....	83
Figure 2.6. Stylized summary of chronic exposure studies. ....	89

## LIST OF FIGURES

### CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1. Schematic of the life cycle of atmospheric particles and their interactions with the gas and aqueous phases. ....	104
Figure 3.2. Typical number and volume distributions of atmospheric particles with the different modes. ....	105
Figure 3.3. Electron micrographs of selected particles. ....	106
Figure 3.4. Evolution of a typical urban aerosol size distribution subject to coagulation. ....	108
Figure 3.5. Growth and evaporation of a NaCl/Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> particle with changing relative humidity. ....	109
Figure 3.6. Predicted particulate nitrate concentration as a function of relative humidity. ....	110
Figure 3.7. Schematic of the three pathways for the formation of SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> in the atmosphere. ....	110
Figure 3.8. Schematic of the formation of HNO <sub>3</sub> and particulate NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> in the atmosphere. ....	111
Figure 3.9. Schematic of the formation of secondary organic aerosol in the atmosphere. ....	112
Figure 3.10. Speciation results for organic aerosol in Southern California. ....	113
Figure 3.11. Response of the fine PM mass to changes in SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> concentration. ....	116
Figure 3.12. Estimated response of fine PM to changes in SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> during winter. ....	117
Figure 3.13. Isopleths of predicted particulate NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> concentration. ....	118
Figure 3.14. Schematic diagram of the processes of in-cloud scavenging. ....	119
Figure 3.15. Typical lifetime of atmospheric particles in the lower troposphere. ....	120
Figure 3.16. Chemical links between ozone and PM formation processes. ....	121
Figure 3.17. Percentage changes of maximum 1-hour average concentrations of ozone and PM <sub>2.5</sub> .....	121

### CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1. Distribution of sources of primary PM <sub>10</sub> in southern Canada and the United States. ....	139
Figure 4.2. Distribution of sources of primary PM <sub>2.5</sub> in southern Canada and the United States. ....	140
Figure 4.3. Distribution of SO <sub>2</sub> sources in Canada and the United States. ....	141
Figure 4.4. Distribution of NH <sub>3</sub> sources in the United States and Canada .....	142
Figure 4.5. Flow diagram for development of the U.S. National Emission Inventory. ....	145

### CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1. Relationships of data-measurement techniques, air-quality management tools, and user applications. ....	160
Figure 5.2. Inlets used for particle size separation. ....	162
Figure 5.3. Representative denuder and filter sampler for collecting PM <sub>2.5</sub> . ....	164

### CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1. Average air mass source regions and transport during July. ....	192
Figure 6.2. Locations of study regions. ....	194
Figure 6.3. Average annual PM <sub>10</sub> mass concentrations. ....	196
Figure 6.4. Seasonal variation of PM <sub>10</sub> mass concentrations. ....	197
Figure 6.5. Variations of average PM <sub>10</sub> mass concentrations . ....	198
Figure 6.6. Variations of average PM <sub>10</sub> mass concentrations , Mexico City. ....	199
Figure 6.7. Average PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. ....	200
Figure 6.8. Example urban-scale variations of average PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass concentrations in southern California. ....	201
Figure 6.9. Numbers of PM <sub>2.5</sub> monitoring sites exceeding three benchmark levels. ....	202

Figure 6.10. Statistical distribution of 24-hr PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at 20 sites in CA. ....	203
Figure 6.11. Seasonal variations of PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass concentrations at selected IMPROVE monitoring locations. ....	204
Figure 6.12. Composition of PM <sub>2.5</sub> at representative urban and rural locations. ....	206
Figure 6.13. Mean annual SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> concentration in eastern North America during 1997-98. ....	207
Figure 6.14. Mean NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> and NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> concentrations during 1997-98. ....	208
Figure 6.15. Comparisons of average PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass and species concentrations at paired urban and rural locations. ....	210
Figure 6.16. Comparisons of average PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass and species concentrations at urban and rural locations. ....	211
Figure 6.17. Example day-of-week variations of PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass and coarse mass concentrations. ....	212
Figure 6.18. Example diurnal variations of PM <sub>10</sub> mass concentration at ten sites in Mexico City. ....	213
Figure 6.19. Trends in PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass at IMPROVE sites, 1988-98. ....	218
Figure 6.20. Trends in annual particulate SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> and NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> concentrations. ....	220
Figure 6.21. Trends in concentrations of SO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> at CAPMoN and CASTNet sites. ....	221
Figure 6.22. Seasonal variation of ozone, PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass, and PM <sub>10</sub> mass at four locations. ....	224
Figure 6.23. Daily variations of ozone, PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass, and PM <sub>10</sub> mass in Los Angeles. ....	225
Figure 6.24. Hourly variations of ozone and PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass in Ontario and Atlanta. ....	226

## CHAPTER 7

Figure 7.1. Five-minute averages of BC measured with an aethalometer in Mexico City. ....	238
Figure 7.2. Average PM <sub>10</sub> at urban and non-urban locations in the Las Vegas, NV. ....	239
Figure 7.3. Material balance for PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> . ....	240
Figure 7.4. Three-day back-trajectories at Simcoe, ON, during May-September of 1998 and 1999. ....	241
Figure 7.5. Examples of PM <sub>2.5</sub> source profiles from emitters typical of Denver, CO. ....	250
Figure 7.5. (continued) Examples of PM <sub>2.5</sub> source profiles. ....	251
Figure 7.6. Examples of PM <sub>2.5</sub> source profiles. ....	254
Figure 7.7. CMB PM <sub>2.5</sub> source-contribution estimates for two regions of Canada. ....	258
Figure 7.8. Conceptual model describing links between urban particles and tailpipe emissions. ....	259
Figure 7.9. Comparison of fractional source contributions to PM <sub>2.5</sub> during 1996 in Denver, CO. ....	261
Figure 7.10. Effects of changes in (a) NH <sub>3</sub> , (b) HNO <sub>3</sub> , and (c) SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> levels. ....	262
Figure 7.11. Comparison of fractions of SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> at Meadview, AZ. ....	266

## CHAPTER 8

Figure 8.1a. Schematic of 3-D Eulerian framework for a chemical transport model. ....	285
Figure 8.1b. Schematic of 1-D Lagrangian framework for a chemical transport model on an Eulerian reference grid system. ....	285
Figure 8.2. Schematic description of the components of a PM modeling system. ....	287
Figure 8.3. Approaches to calculating long-term PM concentrations. ....	295
Figure 8.4. Comparison of measured and simulated 24-hr average SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> values concentrations in Big Bend National Park, Texas. ....	303
Figure 8.5. Comparison of measured and simulated chemical compositions of 24-hr average PM <sub>2.5</sub> in Big Bend National Park, Texas on 12 October 1999. ....	304

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 8.6. Comparison of measured and simulated 24-hr average PM <sub>2.5</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> concentrations over eastern North America for an EMEFS episode, 1 Aug. 1988. ....	305
Figure 8.7a. Application of two distinct CTMs to northeastern North America: AURAMS. ....	309
Figure 8.7b. Application of two distinct CTMs to northeastern North America: Models-3/CMAC. ....	310
Figure 8.8a. Annual predicted percentage contribution of the Ohio/West Virginia/Pennsylvania border SO <sub>2</sub> to total sulfur deposition in eastern North America. ....	311
Figure 8.8b. Annual predicted percentage contribution of the Canadian SO <sub>2</sub> sources to total dry sulfur deposition in eastern North America. ....	312

## CHAPTER 9

Figure 9.1. Illustration of the three processes by which particles and gases in the atmosphere affect visibility. ....	326
Figure 9.2. Distribution of average light extinction in national parks and wilderness areas of the United States. ....	327
Figure 9.3. Light extinction statistics for Canadian urban regions. ....	328
Figure 9.4. Calculated light scattering efficiency vs. particle diameter for several chemical species. ....	330
Figure 9.5. Effect of relative humidity on light scattering. ....	331
Figure 9.6. Relative contributions of PM constituents to particle-caused light extinction for several regions of the U.S. ....	333
Figure 9.7. Global, annual mean radiative forcings (W/m <sup>2</sup> ) for the period from pre-industrial (1750) to the present. ....	343
Figure 9.8. Measured change in annual surface air temperature 1961-1980. ....	345

## CHAPTER 10

Figure 10.1 Development of a conceptual model by applying atmospheric science analyses. ....	355
Figure 10.2. The use of conceptual model to identify needed ambient concentration information and CTM applications. ....	356
Figure 10.3. North American areas covered by conceptual models. ....	357
Figure 10.4. Simplified conceptual model for the San Joaquin Valley. ....	360
Figure 10.5. Simplified conceptual model for the Los Angeles Air Basin. ....	360
Figure 10.6. Simplified conceptual model for Mexico City. ....	361
Figure 10.7. Simplified conceptual model for the Southeastern United States. ....	361
Figure 10.8. Simplified conceptual model for the Northeastern United States ....	362
Figure 10.9. Simplified conceptual model for the Windsor - Quebec City Corridor. ....	362
Figure 10.10. Simplified conceptual model for the U.S. Upper Midwest—Great Lakes. ....	363
Figure 10.11 Simplified conceptual model for the Canadian Southern Prairies and the U.S. Northern Plains. ....	363
Figure 10.12. Simplified conceptual model for the Lower Fraser Valley. ....	364
Figure 10.13. Temporal variations of PM during IMS95. ....	365
Figure 10.14. Temporal variations of monthly-average PM <sub>2.5</sub> at sites in the South Coast Air Basin, 1993. ....	368
Figure 10.15. Temporal variations of monthly-average PM <sub>2.5</sub> at sites in the South Coast Air Basin, 1998-1999. ....	369

Figure 10.16. Temporal variations of monthly-average PM <sub>10</sub> at sites in the South Coast Air Basin, 1998-1999. ....	369
Figure 10.17. Annual PM <sub>2.5</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub> composition averaged across all monitoring sites in the South Coast Air Basin. ....	370
Figure 10.18. Individual source contributions to the 24-hr averaged size distribution of airborne PM. ....	372
Figure 10.19. Average annual concentrations of PM <sub>10</sub> at five stations in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area, 2000. ....	374
Figure 10.20. Monthly average concentrations of PM <sub>10</sub> at five stations in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area, 1995-2000. ....	375
Figure 10.21. Hourly average concentrations of PM <sub>10</sub> at five stations in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area in 2000. ....	375
Figure 10.22. Concentrations of PM <sub>2.5</sub> at the Six Core Sampling Sites. ....	376
Figure 10.23. Seasonal PM <sub>2.5</sub> at the Fire Station monitor in Atlanta. ....	380
Figure 10.24. Annual-average PM fine composition at three sites in Atlanta. ....	381
Figure 10.25. PM <sub>2.5</sub> composition at Great Smoky Mountains, 1999. ....	382
Figure 10.26. Preliminary source-area impact analysis of SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> PM at Great Smoky Mountain National Park. ....	384
Figure 10.27. Seasonal behavior of the Reconstructed Fine Mass across the northeastern United States. ....	387
Figure 10.28. Reconstructed Fine Mass partitioned into the individual components. ....	388
Figure 10.29. Three-year mean, PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations for NAPS. ....	392
Figure 10.30. Bar charts of seasonal variations in PM <sub>2.5</sub> chemical composition. ....	393
Figure 10.31. The relative composition of PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass at Egbert, Ontario. ....	393
Figure 10.32. PM <sub>2.5</sub> measurements at Boundary Waters Canoe Area and at Shenandoah National Park. ....	397
Figure 10.33. Chemical speciation of PM <sub>2.5</sub> in national parks and wilderness areas of the Great Plains. ....	400
Figure 10.34. Annual variations in 24-hr average PM <sub>2.5</sub> measurements from the Chilliwack TEOM site. ....	403
Figure 10.35. Seasonal variations in 24-hr average PM <sub>2.5</sub> measurements from the Chilliwack TEOM. ....	403
Figure 10.36. Annual variations in 24-hr average PM <sub>10</sub> measurements from the Chilliwack TEOM site. ....	405
Figure 10.37. Seasonal variations in 24-hr average PM <sub>10</sub> measurements from the Chilliwack TEOM site. ....	405
Figure 10.38. The percentage distribution of the main PM <sub>2.5</sub> chemical constituents, urban Vancouver. ....	406
Figure 10.39. Percent contribution to reconstructed fine mass from the five dominant modes of fine particle composition for Clearbrook. ....	406
Figure 10.40. Percent contribution to reconstructed fine mass from the five dominant modes of fine particle composition for Chilliwack. ....	406
Figure 10.41. Year 2000 Emission Inventory for PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> for the Lower Fraser Valley. ....	408

## LIST OF FIGURES

### APPENDIX D.

Figure D.1. Global distribution of aerosols and their likely source regions during June and December .....	502
Figure D.2. Satellite data from July 1998 illustrating the extent of the Sahara dust plume. ....	503
Figure D.3. Seasonal pattern of fine particulate soil (dust) concentration at IMPROVE monitoring sites in the southeastern United States. ....	504
Figure D.4. Aerosol optical thickness and illustration of the positions of a dust plume during April 1998. ....	505
Figure D.5. PM <sub>2.5</sub> dust concentrations at IMPROVE monitoring sites on three dates. ....	505
Figure D.6. Satellite imagery indicating the locations of major fires during April and July 1998. ....	506
Figure D.7. Hourly PM <sub>10</sub> concentrations at six locations during May 1998. ....	507